

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,479	08/29/2001	Kensen Okusako	Q65979	4867
7590 10/07/2003			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			BOS, STEVEN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, D	20037		1754	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/940,479**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Steven Bos

1754

Okusako



The MANINO DATE of this commission and an	an the course short with the common dance address
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. 	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) 🗓 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 19</u> ,	2003 .
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This ac	tion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part part of the practice of the pract	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>2-9</u>	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) X Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-9	is/are rejected.
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>5</u>	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) 💢 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign μ	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application No
application from the International Bure	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	\cdot
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) U The translation of the foreign language provision	
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Art Unit: 1754

The amendment filed August 19, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: on pg. 3, second full paragraph, line 1, "acrylic" is new matter; it appears that --acyclic-- was intended. Also, "and the carbon atom forms no ring" is new matter. It is suggested that "wherein the amino group is connected with a carbon atom and the carbon atom forms no ring" be deleted as it appears to be superfluous.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2,3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Great Britain 427,339. GB '339 teaches mixing acidic titanium chloride or sulfate solution with hexamethylenetetramine, an acyclic amine, to form titanium oxide which is then calcined. See example 1 and the claims.

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 2-4,6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan 10-087345. JP '345 teaches the instantly claimed process of mixing an acidic titanium solution with an aromatic or aliphatic amine to form a reaction product which is then calcined to titanium oxide. See the abstract and computer translation.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4,6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan 10-087345.

JP '345 suggests the instantly claimed process of mixing an acidic titanium solution with an aromatic or aliphatic amine to form a reaction product which is then calcined at greater than or equal to 400C to titanium oxide. See the abstract and computer translation.

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549.

Application/Control Number: 09/940479 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed August 19, 2003 are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is (703) 308-2537. The examiner is on the increased flexitime program schedule and can normally be reached between 8AM and 6PM Monday through Friday. The FAX No. for amendments is 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Steven Bos Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754